Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 1,4-Dibromo-2,5-bis(hexyloxy)benzene

#### Ying-Fei Li,<sup>a</sup> Chen Xu,<sup>b</sup>\* Fei-Fei Cen,<sup>a</sup> Zhi-Qiang Wang<sup>b</sup> and Yu-Oing Zhang<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Chemical Engineering and Pharmaceutics School, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: xubohan@163.com, zhangyq8@126.com

Received 14 August 2008; accepted 8 September 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.064; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.0.

In the centrosymmetric title compound,  $C_{18}H_{28}Br_2O_2$ , the alkyl chains adopt a fully extended all-trans conformation and each of them is almost planar. In addition, the alkyl chains are coplanar with the benzene ring. Intermolecular Br...Br interactions [3.410 (3) Å] are present, resulting in a onedimensional supramolecular architecture.

#### **Related literature**

For related literature, see: Ali et al. (2008); Brammer (2004); Desiraju & Parthasarathy (1989); Kuriger et al. (2008); Maruyama & Kawanishi (2002).



#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

$C_{18}H_{28}Br_2O_2$	$\gamma = 99.193 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 436.22$	$V = 493.11 (15) \text{ Å}^3$
Triclinic, P1	Z = 1
a = 6.9638 (12)  Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 8.2581 (14)  Å	$\mu = 4.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 9.7321 (17)  Å	T = 295 (2) K
$\alpha = 107.012 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.28 \times 0.27 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 106.981 \ (2)^{\circ}$	

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.391, T_{\max} = 0.764$ 

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$  $wR(F^2) = 0.063$ S = 1.061818 reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$ 

1567 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

3675 measured reflections 1818 independent reflections

101 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008): program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Doctoral Foundation of Luoyang Normal University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SI2104).

#### References

Ali, B. F., Al-Far, R. H. & Haddad, S. F. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m751-m752. Brammer, L. (2004). Chem. Soc. Rev. 33, 476-489.

Bruker (2004). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Desiraju, G. R. & Parthasarathy, R. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 8725-8726. Kuriger, T. M., Moratti, S. C. & Simpson, J. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0709. Maruyama, S. & Kawanishi, Y. (2002). J. Mater. Chem. 12, 2245-2249. Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o1930 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808028730]

### 1,4-Dibromo-2,5-bis(hexyloxy)benzene

# Y.-F. Li, C. Xu, F.-F. Cen, Z.-Q. Wang and Y.-Q. Zhang

#### Comment

Noncovalent interactions play an important role in designing superstructures (Brammer, 2004). Among these weak forces, the intermolecular interactions between halogen atoms have been a subject of interest (Desiraju *et al.*, 1989). In order to gain more insight into the structure-regulating ability of intermolecular Br...Br interactions, herein we report the crystal structure of the title compound.

A view of the centrosymmetric molecular structure of the title compound is given in Fig.1. The alkyl chains are in the fully extended all-*trans* conformation and each of them is almost perfectly planar. The C—C—O—C torsion angles of 3.4 (4)<sup>o</sup>, indicate that the two alkyl chains are coplanar with the benzene ring. The crystal structure of the title compound reveals the presence of a near linear C—Br···Br fragment[C—Br···Br=155.6 (3)<sup>o</sup>], the Br···Br distance (3.410 Å) is shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii(3.72 Å) and those in the other compound [3.634 (4)–3.9527 (9) Å](Kuriger *et al.*, 2008; Ali *et al.*, 2008). Owing to the intermolecular Br···Br interactions, the crystal structure of the title compound is extended to a one-dimensional chain structure. The chains are intercalated by van der Waals forces (Fig.2).

#### Experimental

The title compound was prepared as described in literature (Maruyama & Kawanishi 2002) and recrystallized from dichloromethane-ethanol at room temperature to give the desired crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

#### Refinement

H atoms attached to C atoms of the title compound were placed in geometrically idealized positions and treated as riding with C—H distances constrained to 0.93 (aromatic CH), or 0.96 Å (methyl CH3), and 0.97 Å (methylene CH2) and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with U iso~(H) = 1.2Ueq(C)(1.5Ueq for methyl H).

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Inversion related atoms are labelled with an A. (Symmetry code: -x, 1 - y, -z).

Fig. 2. Partial view of the crystal packing showing the formation of the infinite chains of molecules formed by the intermolecular Br...Br interactions. Intercalated neighboring chains complete the sheets in the structure running parallel to (100). H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

# 1,4-Dibromo-2,5-bis(hexyloxy)benzene

Z = 1
$F_{000} = 222$
$D_{\rm x} = 1.469 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 1772 reflections
$\theta = 2.3 - 26.0^{\circ}$
$\mu = 4.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 295 (2)  K
Block, colourless
$0.28\times0.27\times0.07~mm$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	1818 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1567 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$
T = 295(2)  K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}$
phi and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.7^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\min} = 0.391, T_{\max} = 0.764$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
3675 measured reflections	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.063$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0346P)^2 + 0.0096P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
1818 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
101 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: none

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}^*/U_{\rm eq}$
Br1	0.07253 (4)	0.51292 (4)	-0.31314 (3)	0.05768 (13)
01	0.3731 (2)	0.6878 (2)	0.00755 (19)	0.0577 (5)
C1	-0.1596 (3)	0.4127 (3)	-0.1410 (3)	0.0455 (6)
H1	-0.2656	0.3549	-0.2366	0.055*
C2	0.0292 (3)	0.5069 (3)	-0.1316 (3)	0.0418 (5)
C3	0.1932 (3)	0.5970 (3)	0.0107 (3)	0.0426 (5)
C4	0.5450 (3)	0.7726 (4)	0.1511 (3)	0.0513 (6)
H4A	0.5871	0.6864	0.1944	0.062*
H4B	0.5056	0.8560	0.2240	0.062*
C5	0.7229 (3)	0.8672 (3)	0.1183 (3)	0.0528 (6)
H5A	0.6775	0.9504	0.0722	0.063*
H5B	0.7610	0.7824	0.0455	0.063*
C6	0.9133 (3)	0.9647 (3)	0.2665 (3)	0.0539 (6)
H6A	0.8755	1.0516	0.3377	0.065*
H6B	0.9547	0.8817	0.3143	0.065*
C7	1.0979 (3)	1.0563 (3)	0.2370 (3)	0.0518 (6)
H7A	1.1351	0.9693	0.1653	0.062*
H7B	1.0564	1.1394	0.1894	0.062*
C8	1.2870 (4)	1.1524 (4)	0.3828 (3)	0.0662 (8)
H8A	1.3355	1.0679	0.4259	0.079*
H8B	1.2471	1.2326	0.4576	0.079*
C9	1.4646 (4)	1.2556 (5)	0.3548 (4)	0.0850 (10)
H9A	1.5032	1.1771	0.2795	0.127*
H9B	1.5824	1.3105	0.4494	0.127*
Н9С	1.4200	1.3442	0.3179	0.127*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Br1	0.05214 (17)	0.0815 (2)	0.03895 (16)	0.00640 (13)	0.01726 (12)	0.02627 (13)
01	0.0382 (9)	0.0797 (12)	0.0427 (9)	-0.0082 (8)	0.0094 (7)	0.0224 (9)
C1	0.0369 (12)	0.0546 (14)	0.0350 (12)	0.0026 (10)	0.0057 (9)	0.0142 (11)

# supplementary materials

C2	0.0414 (12)	0.0529 (14)	0.0330 (11)	0.0089 (10)	0.0135 (10)	0.0199 (10)
C3	0.0354 (11)	0.0501 (13)	0.0392 (12)	0.0049 (10)	0.0115 (10)	0.0168 (11)
C4	0.0368 (12)	0.0633 (16)	0.0417 (13)	-0.0004 (11)	0.0082 (10)	0.0151 (12)
C5	0.0383 (12)	0.0654 (16)	0.0481 (14)	0.0015 (11)	0.0139 (11)	0.0193 (12)
C6	0.0399 (13)	0.0629 (17)	0.0504 (14)	0.0023 (12)	0.0136 (11)	0.0172 (13)
C7	0.0404 (13)	0.0562 (15)	0.0535 (15)	0.0043 (11)	0.0175 (11)	0.0160 (12)
C8	0.0437 (14)	0.0786 (19)	0.0592 (17)	-0.0001 (13)	0.0128 (13)	0.0150 (15)
C9	0.0468 (16)	0.095 (2)	0.086 (2)	-0.0123 (15)	0.0179 (16)	0.0156 (19)
Geometric param	neters (Å, °)					
Br1—C2		1.891 (2)	C5—]	H5B	0.93	700
O1—C3		1.367 (2)	C6—0	C7	1.52	29 (3)
O1—C4		1.435 (3)	C6—1	H6A	0.97	700
$C1-C3^{i}$		1.377 (3)	C6—]	H6B	0.93	700
C1—C2		1.379 (3)	C7—(	28	1.5	4 (3)
C1—H1		0.9300	C7—1	H7A	0.9	700
C2—C3		1.406 (3)	C7—]	H7B	0.9	700
$C3-C1^{i}$		1.377 (3)	C8—0	C9	1.52	25 (4)
C4—C5		1.522 (3)	C8—1	H8A	0.97	700
C4—H4A		0.9700	C8—1	H8B	0.97	700
C4—H4B		0.9700	C9—1	H9A	0.90	500
C5—C6		1.533 (3)	C9—]	H9B	0.96	500
С5—Н5А		0.9700	C9—1	H9C	0.96	500
C3—O1—C4		117.26 (18)	С7—4	С6—Н6А	109	.1
C3 <sup>i</sup> —C1—C2		120.9 (2)	C5—0	С6—Н6А	109	.1
C3 <sup>i</sup> —C1—H1		119.6	C7—0	С6—Н6В	109	.1
C2-C1-H1		119.6	C5—0	С6—Н6В	109	.1
C1—C2—C3		121.5 (2)	H6A-	-С6-Н6В	107	.9
C1—C2—Br1		119.81 (16)	C8—0	С7—С6	112	.7 (2)
C3—C2—Br1		118.73 (16)	C8—0	С7—Н7А	109	.1
01—C3—C1 <sup>i</sup>		125.49 (19)	C6—6	С7—Н7А	109	.1
O1—C3—C2		116.8 (2)	C8—4	С7—Н7В	109	.1
C1 <sup>i</sup> —C3—C2		117.70 (19)	C6—4	С7—Н7В	109	.1
O1—C4—C5		107.30 (19)	H7A-	—С7—Н7В	107	.8
O1—C4—H4A		110.3	С7—	С8—С9	112	.5 (3)
С5—С4—Н4А		110.3	C7—0	С8—Н8А	109	.1
O1—C4—H4B		110.3	С9—	С8—Н8А	109	.1
С5—С4—Н4В		110.3	С7—(	С8—Н8В	109	.1
H4A—C4—H4B		108.5	С9—(	C8—H8B	109	.1
C4—C5—C6		111.0 (2)	H8A-	C8H8B	107	.8
С4—С5—Н5А		109.4	C8—4	С9—Н9А	109	.5
С6—С5—Н5А		109.4	C8—4	С9—Н9В	109	.5
C4—C5—H5B		109.4	H9A-	—С9—Н9В	109	.5
С6—С5—Н5В		109.4	C8—4	С9—Н9С	109	.5
H5A—C5—H5B		108.0	H9A-	—С9—Н9С	109	.5
C7—C6—C5		112.4 (2)	H9B–	-С9—Н9С	109	.5

C3 <sup>i</sup> —C1—C2—C3	-0.4 (4)	Br1—C2—C3—C1 <sup>i</sup>	-178.52 (18)
C3 <sup>i</sup> —C1—C2—Br1	178.49 (18)	C3—O1—C4—C5	-178.7 (2)
C4—O1—C3—C1 <sup>i</sup>	3.4 (4)	O1—C4—C5—C6	179.1 (2)
C4—O1—C3—C2	-176.9 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	178.1 (2)
C1—C2—C3—O1	-179.4 (2)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-179.8 (2)
Br1-C2-C3-O1	1.7 (3)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-175.4 (2)
C1C2C3C1 <sup>i</sup>	0.4 (4)		
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x$ , $-y+1$ , $-z$ .			

Fig. 1





Fig. 2